

The Honorable John H. Chun

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE**

STATE OF WASHINGTON; and
STATE OF OREGON,

Plaintiffs,

V.

DONALD TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States of America, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 2:25-CV-00602-JHC

[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING MOTION FOR
PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT

**NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR:
JUNE 26, 2025**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
No. 2:25-cv-00602-JHC

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
Complex Litigation Division
800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98104-3188
(206) 464-7744

Pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and all the papers filed in support thereof, and any oppositions filed in opposition thereto, the Court concludes that Plaintiff States are entitled to judgment as a matter of law and that there are no disputes of material fact. The Court specifically concludes that section 2(a), section 4(a), section 4(b), section 7(a), and section 7(b) of Executive Order 14,248 are unlawful and unconstitutional. Furthermore, 2 U.S.C. § 7 and 3 U.S.C. § 1 do not preempt Washington or Oregon laws establishing ballot-receipt deadlines.

Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The Court holds as follows:

(1) Section 2(a) of the Executive Order exceeds the President's constitutional and statutory authority, is *ultra vires*, and violates the constitutional separation of powers. Defendants United States Election Assistance Commission, Chairman Donald L. Palmer, Vice Chair Thomas Hicks, Commissioner Christy McCormick, Commissioner Benjamin W. Hovland, and Executive Director Brianna Schletz, and their officers, agents, servants, and employees (collectively "EAC Defendants") are enjoined from implementing section 2(a) of the Executive Order by taking any steps to require documentary proof of citizenship as part of the national mail-in voter registration form required by 52 U.S.C. § 20508. Because there is a single national mail-in voter registration form, the scope of this injunction is necessary to accord Plaintiff States the relief to which they are entitled. This injunction does not prohibit EAC Defendants from making any other lawful changes to the national mail-in voter registration form.

(2) Section 4(a) of the Executive Order exceeds the President's constitutional and statutory authority, is *ultra vires*, and violates the constitutional separation of powers. EAC Defendants are permanently enjoined from implementing section 4(a) by taking any steps to condition grants to Plaintiff States on rejection of voter registration applications that are not accompanied by documentary proof of citizenship. This injunction does not prohibit EAC

1 Defendants from exercising authority over grants to Plaintiff States in the manner authorized by
 2 laws adopted by Congress.

3 (3) Section 4(b) of the Executive Order exceeds the President's constitutional and
 4 statutory authority, is *ultra vires*, and violates the constitutional separation of powers. EAC
 5 Defendants are permanently enjoined from implementing section 4(b) by taking any steps to
 6 decertify voting systems in the manner required by section 4(b) or to revise the Voluntary Voting
 7 System Guidelines in the manner required by section 4(b). The scope of this injunction is
 8 necessary to accord Plaintiff States the relief to which they are entitled. This injunction does not
 9 prohibit EAC Defendants from exercising their lawful discretion to decertify voting systems
 10 pursuant existing law and policy. This injunction also does not prohibit EAC Defendants from
 11 exercising their lawful discretion to adopt any other changes to the Voluntary Voting System
 12 Guidelines.

13 (4) Section 7(a) of the Executive Order exceeds the President's constitutional and
 14 statutory authority, is *ultra vires*, and violates the constitutional separation of powers. The
 15 Attorney General and the Attorney General's officers, agents, servants, and employees are
 16 permanently enjoined from attempting to enforce 2 U.S.C. § 7 or 3 U.S.C. § 1 in a manner that
 17 would prevent Plaintiff States from counting otherwise valid votes for federal office that are
 18 contained in ballots that are timely under state law.

19 (5) Section 7(b) of the Executive Order exceeds the President's constitutional and
 20 statutory authority, is *ultra vires*, and violates the constitutional separation of powers by
 21 exercising authority reserved to Congress. EAC Defendants are permanently enjoined from
 22 conditioning funding to Plaintiff States on any basis related to Plaintiff States' counting
 23 otherwise valid votes for federal office that are contained in ballots that were mailed by election
 24 day, to the extent permitted by state law.

25 (6) Plaintiff States are entitled to declaratory judgment that their existing laws are
 26 not preempted by 2 U.S.C. § 7 and 3 U.S.C. § 1 ("federal election day statutes"). The federal

1 election day statutes establish a uniform date for holding general elections for federal office.
 2 Plaintiff States' laws comply with this requirement by establishing the first Tuesday after the
 3 first Monday in November as the date for federal general elections. Wash. Rev. Code
 4 § 29A.04.04.321; Or. Rev. Stat. § 254.056(1). Plaintiff States' laws require that all ballots be
 5 cast by election day. Wash. Rev. Code §§ 29A.40.110(4), 29A.60.190; Or. Rev. Stat.
 6 § 254.470(6)(e)(B), (8). Because Congress has not enacted a law establishing ballot receipt
 7 deadlines, the Elections Clause authorizes the states to establish laws relating to the counting of
 8 otherwise valid ballots received after election day.

9
 10 DATED this _____ day of _____ 2025.

11
 12
 13 Honorable John H. Chun
 14 United States District Court Judge

15 Presented by:

16 NICHOLAS W. BROWN

Attorney General

State of Washington

17 NOAH G. PURCELL, WSBA 43492

Solicitor General

18 s/Karl D. Smith

KARL D. SMITH, WSBA 41988

Deputy Solicitor General

21 WILLIAM MCGINTY, WSBA 41868

KELLY A. PARADIS, WSBA 47175

22 ALICIA O. YOUNG, WSBA 35553

Deputy Solicitors General

FREEMAN E. HALLE, WSBA 61498

MICHELLE M. SAPERSTEIN, WSBA 55539

ZANE MULLER, WSBA **

Assistant Attorneys General

23 Office of the Washington State Attorney General

800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000

Seattle, WA 98104-3188

24 206-464-7744

1 karl.smith@atg.wa.gov
2 noah.purcell@atg.wa.gov
3 william.mcginny@atg.wa.gov
4 kelly.paradis@atg.wa.gov
5 alicia.young@atg.wa.gov
6 freeman.halle@atg.wa.gov
7 zane.muller@atg.wa.gov
8 michelle.saperstein@atg.wa.gov

9 *Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Washington*

10 DAN RAYFIELD
11 Attorney General
12 State of Oregon

13 s/ Brian Simmonds Marshall

14 BRIAN SIMMONDS MARSHALL*
15 CARLA A. SCOTT, WSBA #39947
16 *Senior Assistant Attorneys General*
17 KATE E. MORROW*
18 *Assistant Attorney General*
19 Oregon Department of Justice
20 100 SW Market Street
21 Portland, OR 97201
22 (971) 673-1880
23 brian.s_marshall@doj.oregon.gov
24 carla.a.scott@doj.oregon.gov
25 kate.e.morrow@doj.oregon.gov

26 *Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Oregon*

1 *Admitted Pro hac vice

2 **Washington State bar admission pending